

To appear in *The Astrophysical Journal*

Blue Horizontal Branch Stars in Old, Metal-Rich Stellar Systems^{1,2}

Ruth C. Peterson³, Bruce W. Carney⁴, Ben Dorman⁵, Elizabeth M. Green⁶, Wayne Landsman⁷, James Liebert⁸, Robert W. O'Connell⁹, and Robert T. Rood¹⁰

ABSTRACT

Twenty years ago, Burstein et al. (1984) recognized that the metal-rich globular clusters in the Andromeda galaxy (M31) exhibited anomalously strong Balmer and CN lines compared to Milky Way clusters. They suggested younger ages might be the cause, unless blue stars above the main-sequence turnoff or on the horizontal branch were uncommonly prominent. Here we test these suggestions by fitting the detailed mid-ultraviolet (2280-3120 Å) and optical (3850-4750 Å) spectra of one moderately metal-rich M31 globular cluster, G1. We explore the

¹Based on observations obtained with the Hubble Space Telescope of Space Telescope Science Institute, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA)

²Based on observations obtained with the Shane Telescope at Mt. Hamilton, UCO/Lick Observatory

³Ruth C. Peterson, UCO/Lick Observatory, Dept. of Astronomy, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, and Astrophysical Advances, Palo Alto, CA 94301; peterson@ucolick.org

⁴Bruce W. Carney, Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3255; bruce@physics.unc.edu

⁵Ben Dorman, L-3Com Analytics Corp., Greenbelt, MD 20771; Ben.Dorman@gsfc.nasa.gov

⁶Elizabeth M. Green, Steward Observatory, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721-0065; bgreen@as.arizona.edu

⁷Wayne Landsman, Science Systems & Applications, Inc., Greenbelt, MD 20771; landsman@mpb.gsfc.nasa.gov

⁸James Liebert, Steward Observatory, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721-0065; liebert@as.arizona.edu

⁹Robert W. O'Connell, Dept. of Astronomy, University of Virginia, P.O. Box 3818, Charlottesville, VA 22903-0818; rwo@virginia.edu

¹⁰Robert T. Rood, Dept. of Astronomy, University of Virginia, P.O. Box 3818, Charlottesville, VA 22903-0818; rtr@veris.astro.virginia.edu

effects of a wide range of non-solar temperatures and abundance ratios, by combining a small set of theoretical stellar spectra like those of Peterson et al. (2001) that were calculated using extensively updated atomic-line constants. To match the mid-UV fluxes of G1, we find that hot components with $T_e \geq 8000$ K must be included. We obtain a very good fit with cool and hot blue horizontal branch (BHB) stars, but less satisfactory fits for blue straggler stars, those hotter than the main-sequence turnoff.

The G1 color-magnitude diagram does show cool BHB stars, and the color of its giant branch supports the metallicity of one-sixth the solar value deduced from the composite spectrum with BHB stars. The turnoff temperature of the best-fit model is consistent with that of turnoff stars in galactic globular clusters and the field halo, indicating G1 is comparably old. Because metal-rich cool BHB and extremely blue HB stars have now been found within our own Galaxy — in open clusters, globular clusters, and the field of the Bulge — we suggest that these hot horizontal-branch stars be considered in fitting spectra of metal-rich populations such as the Andromeda globular clusters, to avoid possible underestimates of their ages. We plan to make the relevant spectral calculations available as part of our Hubble Treasury Program.

Subject headings: stars: horizontal-branch — galaxies: star clusters globular clusters: general — globular clusters: individual (M31 G1 = Mayall II) — ultraviolet: galaxies — ultraviolet: stars

1. Introduction

The old stellar populations in globular clusters and galaxies are important tracers of the histories of galaxies and can help decide among various current models of the formation of galaxies. For example, if an elliptical galaxy forms in a simple, isolated, dynamical collapse, its stars form primarily at early times (e.g., Eggen, Lynden-Bell, & Sandage 1962; Arimoto & Yoshii 1987). In contrast, the hierarchical assemblage of an elliptical galaxy from smaller pieces, in mergers accompanied by star formation, leads naturally to a substantial intermediate-age population (e.g., Kauffmann, White, & Guiderdoni 1993).

Although globular clusters and elliptical galaxies harbor similar populations (Baade 1944), they do have certain disparate properties that make it difficult to establish their relative ages. The major complication is the variation in elemental abundances (Charlot, Worthey, & Bressan 1996). Luminous elliptical galaxies are metal-rich and show an enhancement in the magnesium-to-iron abundance ratio relative to that of the Sun (e.g., O’Connell

1976; Peterson 1976; Trager et al. 2000a,b), while Andromeda globulars reach higher metallicities than do those of the Galaxy (van den Bergh 1969). Worthey (1994) has shown that there is an “age-metallicity degeneracy”, i.e. very little difference between the optical spectra of two old systems in which one has twice the age and three-fourths the metallicity of the other. The Balmer lines of hydrogen can break this degeneracy, but their strengths are also influenced by stars hotter than the main-sequence turnoff (MSTO).

Burstein et al. (1984) showed that M31 globular clusters of moderate to high metallicity exhibit anomalously strong $H\beta$ and CN line absorption compared to those of Milky Way globulars, and that their CN (but not $H\beta$) is stronger than in nuclei of elliptical galaxies. They considered whether the Balmer-line enhancement might be due to anomalously hot stars on the blue horizontal branch (BHB), or to unevolved blue stragglers situated on the main sequence blueward of the turnoff. In the end, they favored the possibility that these M31 globulars might be younger than those of the Milky Way, since this might also account for the anomalous CN absorption.

Subsequent work has confirmed several important distinctions among these groups, but has generally not supported substantial differences in age. Tripicco (1989) confirmed from optical spectra that CN is enhanced in the metal-rich M31 globulars relative to those of the Milky Way, and again argued for younger ages; but Brodie & Huchra (1990) noted that the $H\beta$ anomalies in M31 globulars all but disappeared when $H\beta$ was plotted against a magnesium index rather than an iron index. Mid-UV measurements from the Astro/Ultraviolet Imaging Telescope (Bohlin et al. 1993) suggested that the ages of the overwhelming majority of M31 globulars were comparable to those of the Milky Way. While Fanelli et al. (1990) deduced from stellar mid-UV spectra obtained with the International Ultraviolet Explorer (IUE) that UV feature distinctions are primarily due to abundance differences, Ponder et al. (1998) emphasized that feature strengths could also be affected by old, evolved subdwarf B/O stars—the visually faint but very hot “extreme” horizontal branch (EHB) stars responsible for the upturn in far-UV flux seen in luminous ellipticals (O’Connell 1999).

In this paper we re-examine these issues using the Ponder et al. (1998) spectra of the moderately metal-rich M31 globular cluster G1 (= K1 = Mayall II). This bright, spatially extended, well-studied cluster is partially resolved into stars by the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) Wide Field/Planetary Camera 2 (WFPC2). Rich et al. (1996) found that its V , $V - I$ color magnitude diagram (CMD) bore a strong resemblance to that of the Milky Way globular cluster 47 Tucanae, suggesting a similar age and metallicity for both clusters. They also noted a population of cool blue horizontal branch (BHB) stars near their detection limit.

With $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.7$ (Rich et al. 1996) or -0.9 (Meylan et al. 2001), G1 has the lowest metallicity of any Andromeda globular cluster that shows a sizable ($\sim 40\%$) $H\beta$ en-

hancement. Its spectrum can now be analyzed using theoretical mid-UV stellar spectral calculations. Peterson, Dorman, & Rood (2001, PDR01) have shown that their *ab initio* calculations of spectra from 2280 to 3120 Å, incorporating extensively updated constants and pseudo-identifications for atomic absorption-line features, reproduce observed spectra for low-metallicity solar-type stars up to a metallicity of $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.5$ (one-third solar).

In this work, we fit simple composite theoretical spectra to the Ponder et al. (1998) G1 mid-UV spectra (2280-3120 Å, 5.5 Å resolution) and optical spectra (3850-4750 Å, 8.8 Å resolution) taken with the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) Faint Object Spectrograph (FOS). We use the observed CMD’s for G1 (Rich et al. 1996) and 47 Tuc (Briley 1997) to guide our modeling. We forego a detailed spectral synthesis in favor of a weighted combination of the spectra of a few representative types of star, to avoid introducing a large number of free parameters whose individual effects cannot be judged. Consequently we ignore for now the possibility of a spread in metallicity among G1 stars (Meylan et al. 2001).

Rose & Deng (1999) and Schiavon et al. (2002a,b) have recently used empirical spectral libraries to explore fits to high-resolution optical and mid-UV spectra of old populations. Such fits are necessarily limited by the characteristics of the population of relatively nearby, bright stars, which includes very few old hot stars and stars with non-solar abundance ratios. In contrast, our theoretical approach allows us to explore explicitly the effects of stars with a wide range of abundance ratios, and of hot stars representing both young main-sequence A stars and the hot horizontal-branch stars of the halo population. Our results illustrate the basic gains achieved in fitting integrated optical and UV spectra when stellar parameters can be varied at will. As part of our future work under our Hubble Treasury program GO-9455, we will eventually produce a library of theoretical stellar spectra, enabling a detailed spectral synthesis. However, our primary results from this simple modeling are robust enough and interesting enough that we want to draw attention to them at this stage.

2. Spectral Calculations

The spectral calculations for this work were run with the Kurucz & Avrett (1981) program SYNTHE following the procedures of PDR01. The same models were employed, those of Castelli, Gratton, & Kurucz (1997) downloaded from the Kurucz web site¹¹ and modified at the surface, for PDR01 showed that only models such as these — in which convective overshoot in the photosphere is turned off — can simultaneously reproduce the optical and mid-UV spectra of solar-type stars. The input list of mid-UV line parameters

¹¹URL: <http://cfaku5.harvard.edu>

was largely that of PDR01. We made additional changes to Kurucz transition probabilities for atomic lines identified in the laboratory, added even more “missing” lines not yet so identified, and halved the absorption due to the Mg I bound-free edge near 2510 Å, to better match Space Telescope Imaging Spectrograph (STIS) spectra of a dozen stars of near-solar temperature spanning a wide range of metallicity. The input list of optical line parameters is based on the Kurucz laboratory atomic line parameters, again with corrections like those of Peterson, Dalle Ore, & Kurucz (1993) to match the Sun but without adding missing lines.

Theoretical molecular line parameters were used in both wavelength regions, with modifications to match the Sun. In the mid-UV, we reduced by 0.15 dex both the theoretical OH transition probabilities of Goldman for the 0-0, 1-1, 2-2, 1-0, 2-1, and 3-2 bands, and the Lifbase CH lines, all provided by M. Bessell (private communication, Aug. and Oct. 2000 respectively). To similarly normalize the remaining mid-UV bands of both molecules, we reduced the Kurucz theoretical transition probabilities by $\Delta = -0.4 - 0.5 \times \text{ELO}$, where ELO is the lower energy level (in wavenumbers). In the optical, the Kurucz CH transition probabilities were lowered by 0.15 dex for lines with at least one energy level measured in the laboratory, and by 1.0 dex for lines with both energy levels predicted rather than measured. The Kurucz CN transition probabilities were used without change.

Composite spectra were generated by coadding spectra calculated for up to six representative types of star. Their characteristics are given in Table 1. Our choice of atmospheric model parameters for cool stars was based on those listed in Table 1 of Briley (1997) and plotted as a function of $V - K$ in Fig. 4 of that paper. The temperatures and gravities of the MSTO components were varied within the range shown to give the best fit for a given coaddition. The temperatures and gravities of the other components were held fixed.

For a given coaddition, only one metallicity $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ and one relative-abundance distribution was used, except that $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ was fixed as described below for the model of the EHB star. For the remaining models, we chose $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ to fit most metal lines, and $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ ($= [\text{Mg}/\text{Fe}]$, $[\text{Si}/\text{Fe}]$, $[\text{Ca}/\text{Fe}]$, and $[\text{Ti}/\text{Fe}]$) to match the Mg II lines at 2800 Å and Ca II H + H ϵ and Ca II K. The relative abundance of CNO elements was dependent on the model. Because of the strong CN index, giant models incorporated $[\text{O}/\text{Fe}] = -0.1$, $[\text{C}/\text{Fe}] = -0.3$, and $[\text{N}/\text{Fe}] = +0.4$, while other models adopted $[\text{O}/\text{Fe}] = 0.1$ and $[\text{C}/\text{Fe}] = [\text{N}/\text{Fe}] = 0.0$.

To form the composite spectrum, we chose relative flux-weighting factors for each model as described below. At each wavelength we multiplied the flux-weighting factor for each model by its flux per unit surface area as calculated by SYNTHE, and summed the results. The resulting composite spectrum was Gaussian smoothed and multiplied by a normalization constant, one for the mid-UV and one for the optical, to match the observations. These constants should be equal if the observations have been properly dereddened and normalized.

Table 1. Representative Stellar Types and their Relative Numbers in Models

Type of Star	T_{eff}/K	$\log g$	Mass ($M_{\odot}$)	Relative Numbers in Models:	
				BHB Models	BSS Models
Main Sequence	5750	4.5	0.80	1463–1502	1186
Turnoff	5750–6500	4.2–4.3	0.80	923–948	748
Red Giant	4250	1.5	0.65	22	22
Red HB	4500	2.0	0.60	77	77
F5: Cool Blue Straggler	6750	4.2	1.00		317
A7: Warm Blue Straggler	8000	3.8	1.40		225
A0: Hot Blue Straggler	10000	3.7	2.00		2–5
Cool BHB	8000	3.0	0.55	7–11	
Extreme HB	26000	5.5	0.55	12	

Table 2. Characteristics of Models Used for the Plots of Theoretical Composite Spectra

Plot Position		Turnoff			Flux Weights									Flux Ratio
Fig. 1	Fig. 2	$T_{\text{eff}}(\text{K})$	[Fe/H]	$[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$	EHB	BHB	A0	A7	F5	TO	MS	RHB	RGB	
Top		6000	−0.8	0.2	0.000020	0.006	...	...	...	0.037	0.037	0.46	0.46	1.019
	Top	6100	−0.8	0.2	0.000022	0.004	...	...	...	0.038	0.038	0.46	0.46	0.980
2nd		5900	−0.9	0.2	0.000020	0.006	...	...	...	0.037	0.037	0.46	0.46	1.041
	2nd	6250	−0.5	0.2	0.000022	0.004	...	...	...	0.038	0.038	0.46	0.46	1.062
3rd		6500	−0.5	0.0	...	...	0.0008	...	0.02	0.030	0.030	0.46	0.46	0.948
	3rd	6500	−0.5	0.0	...	...	...	0.05	...	0.037	0.038	0.46	0.46	1.030
4th		6000	−0.8	0.2	...	0.006	...	...	...	0.037	0.037	0.46	0.46	1.101
	4th	6500	−0.5	0.0	...	...	0.0020	...	...	0.039	0.039	0.46	0.46	0.974
5th		6250	−0.8	0.2	...	...	...	...	...	0.080	0.080	0.42	0.42	0.826
	5th	6500	−0.5	0.0	...	...	...	...	...	0.080	0.080	0.42	0.42	0.830

The flux-weighting factors are proportional to the number of stars represented by a model times the radius squared of the stellar atmosphere. The radius may be judged from the stellar mass and the model surface gravity $\log g$. Included in Table 1 are the relative numbers of stars thus calculated from the flux-weighting factors for each type of model.

Equal flux-weighting factors were adopted for the giant and the RHB models, and for the MSTO and the main-sequence (MS) stars, based on approximate numbers of stars in the respective regions of the 47 Tuc CMD. The ratio of the flux-weighting factors for the two groups — giants versus main-sequence stars — was then chosen to best match the slope of the optical spectrum of G1.

Because the coadded spectra involving just these four types of star underrepresented the mid-UV flux level, we then added hotter components, either BHB stars or blue straggler stars (BSS). Observations of Galactic globular clusters suggest that BHB and/or BSS stars could exist in G1, yet there is little in the way of observational or theoretical guidance to suggest how many. We first tried cool BHB stars, with $T_{\text{eff}} \sim 8000$ K, and BSS stars with temperatures moderately hotter than the turnoff. Since the mid-UV flux level was still underrepresented, we added their hotter counterparts, adopting flux-weighting factors that minimized the discrepancy below 2600 Å while preserving the slope of the optical continuum.

Temperatures of the hot stars were guided by cluster observations. The hottest blue stragglers in clusters usually have masses below twice that of the cluster turnoff mass (e.g., Ferraro et al. 2002, Fig. 1)¹². Our adopted hot BSS model is an extreme case, an A0V star with a mass twice that of the F5V star represented by the coolest BSS model (Cox 1999). Horizontal branches of globular clusters often are exclusively blue; some reach temperatures above 20,000 K, those of EHB stars. Our hottest BHB model represents a cool EHB. Using the Kurucz ATLAS12 program allowed us to adopt iron-peak abundances one-third solar and helium 1/500 solar, to account for radiative levitation and diffusion in atmospheres of stars hotter than $\sim 11,000$ K (e.g., Glaspey et al. 1989; Jaschek & Jaschek 1990).

3. Comparison with Observations

In Figures 1 and 2 we show comparisons of ten theoretical composite spectra (light lines) with observed spectra of G1 (heavy line) and, at the bottom of each, the comparison of a single stellar model with observations of a single star, HD 106516. The left panel of each figure shows the mid-UV, and the right, the optical. Each panel shows six plots, offset

¹²URL: <http://www.astro.virginia.edu/~rtr/papers/>

vertically; tick marks are separated by 10% of the maximum theoretical value plotted in each comparison. The plots in both figures are organized vertically according to the presence of hot stars in the composite theoretical spectra. Those of the top two plots have both cool BHB and EHB stars. The third plot of Fig. 1 includes two BSS models instead of two BHB models, and the third plot of Fig. 2 and the fourth plot of both figures includes but a single hot-star model. The fifth plot shows models incorporating MSTO and cooler stars only.

Table 2 lists the specifics of each composite model spectrum plotted, including its position in the figures, the turnoff model temperature, $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$, the light-element enhancement $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$, the flux-weighting factors, and the ratio of flux normalization constants for the composite spectrum. Provided the reddening correction is reliable, the flux ratio should be unity to $\sim 5\%$, the estimated joint uncertainty of the continuum normalizations.

The G1 observations are those of Ponder et al. (1998), as processed by the FOS pipeline on 11 Aug 1994, corrected for a reddening $E(B - V) = 0.06$ mag (Rich et al. 1996; Meylan et al. 2001) via the `deredden` task of IRAF¹³, and smoothed with a $2 \text{ \AA}$ Gaussian kernel. For HD 106516, the optical spectrum is a smoothed version of the continuum-normalized echelle spectrum PDR01 used to determine these parameters. It is truncated at $4000 \text{ \AA}$, below which line blending renders continuum definition highly unreliable. Its mid-UV spectrum, also used by PDR01, is a smoothed echelle spectrum obtained in Hubble program GO-7433. No correction was made for reddening, which should be negligible given the star’s high latitude (51°) and its proximity (23 pc).

The bottom plot compares observed and calculated spectra for HD 106516, using the model parameters from PDR01: $T_{\text{eff}} = 6250 \text{ K}$, $\log g = 4.3$, and $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.65$, with $[\text{Mg}/\text{Fe}] = +0.25$ and $[\text{Si}/\text{Fe}] = [\text{Ca}/\text{Fe}] = [\text{Ti}/\text{Fe}] = +0.2$. This model spectrum agrees reasonably well throughout the mid-UV and in the region redward of $4000 \text{ \AA}$. The Balmer lines $\text{H}\delta$ (at $4101 \text{ \AA}$) and $\text{H}\gamma$ (at $4340 \text{ \AA}$) are well matched, to within the uncertainties in the continuum definition. However, the CH band head near $4306 \text{ \AA}$ is too strong in the calculations. This probably reflects residual problems with the CH transition probabilities; if so, CH will be overestimated in all comparisons. Continuum definition affects HD 106516 alone, for the G1 spectra were obtained from space and are on an absolute flux scale.

Despite its simplicity, our approach leads to very good fits to both the mid-UV and the optical observations of G1. Our best-fit spectra are shown at the top of Figures 1 and 2.

¹³IRAF is written and supported by the IRAF programming group at the National Optical Astronomy Observatories (NOAO) in Tucson, Arizona. NOAO is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy (AURA), Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation. URL: <http://iraf.noao.edu/>

Both incorporate cool BHB stars and EHB stars but not BSS. Their iron abundance $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.8$, the mild enhancement of light α -elements, and average turnoff temperature $T_{\text{eff}} = 6050 \text{ K}$, all resemble those of mildly metal-poor field halo and globular cluster stars in the Galaxy. HD 106516 is hotter than 6000 K, but Carney et al. (2001) identify it as a blue straggler from its binary orbit. Their Fig. 1 shows that it is slightly bluer than field turnoff stars of similar $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$. Thus this fit implies a similar age and light-element enhancement for G1 as for the oldest Galactic stars, except for its CN.

Throughout the mid-UV in the top plot of Fig. 1, the model fits the G1 spectrum nearly as well as the stellar model fits the HD 106516 spectrum, and has very similar discrepancies. These are attributed by PDR01 to problems remaining with the mid-UV line list. In the optical, most features are rather well matched, including $\text{H}\delta$, $\text{Ca II H} + \text{H}\epsilon$, and Ca II K . (Unfortunately, the M31 G1 optical spectrum does not extend redward as far as $\text{H}\beta$, at $4861 \text{ \AA}$.) The CH band head is too strong by roughly the same relative amount as in HD 106516, as is expected if gf-values are in error. However, the CN band near $3886 \text{ \AA}$ is far too weak. In HD 106516 neither this CN band nor the one at $4210 \text{ \AA}$ is detected in the PDR01 echelle spectrum. Like others before us, we cannot explain the very strong CN in G1.

At the top of Fig. 2, we show composite spectra designed to test for an age-BHB degeneracy. They are constructed with the same metallicity and flux-weighting factors as for the best fit, except that the turnoff temperature was increased and the weight of the cool BHB model was decreased. This fit is hardly distinguishable from the best fit; the $\text{Ca II H} + \text{H}\epsilon$ and the cores of lines below $2600 \text{ \AA}$ become slightly stronger. This suggests that when cool BHB stars are present in significant but unknown numbers, the turnoff temperature may be uncertain by $\sim 100 \text{ K}$ even if metallicity and reddening are fixed. Only the flux ratio rules out a larger uncertainty in temperature and thus age.

The next-to-top plots illustrate the extent to which the age-metallicity degeneracy persists in the mid-UV. Both depict fits to BHB+EHB models: $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.9$ in Fig. 1, and $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.5$ in Fig. 2. Both fits are still reasonable, marginally worse than the best fit, and both have flux ratios of unity to within the uncertainties. The $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.9$ model is unlikely, however, as its turnoff temperature of 5900 K implies an age for the cluster that is probably greater than that of the field halo stars. A moderately old, mildly metal-poor population remains a possibility for G1. This metallicity might be appropriate if abundance rises towards the very center of G1, since the FOS spectra were taken through a $1''$ aperture at the center. However, even at this metallicity, CN is still not nearly strong enough.

The third plot from the top of Fig. 1 portrays composite spectra with hot and cool blue stragglers instead of EHB and cool BHB stars. Here, the mid-UV fit is poorer than in the top plot; neither the Mg II region nor the region below $2600 \text{ \AA}$ is as well reproduced. A

similar but more extreme mismatch is seen in the third plot from the top of Fig. 2, based on a single BSS of intermediate temperature. A somewhat better fit is shown in the fourth plot from the top of Fig. 2, in which only a hot blue straggler is included. However, in all these blue-straggler composite models, the 2900 – 3100 Å lines are too weak as well. While a higher metallicity would alleviate this, the optical lines would then be too strong. Also, the mid-UV magnesium lines are poorly matched: the Mg I line at 2856 Å is too weak, while the Mg II line wings are too weak and its core often is too strong. Adding main-sequence stars would alleviate this discrepancy, but again this would spoil the agreement in the optical. To fit both the mid-UV and optical spectra of G1 thus requires BHB stars rather than BSS.

The fourth plot from the top of Fig. 1 shows a composite spectrum like that of the best fit, but lacking the EHB model. The optical region is matched rather well, but the observed mid-UV fluxes are seriously underestimated in deeper lines and at shorter wavelengths. This discrepancy would be reduced if scattered light is present in the G1 spectrum. However, the IRAF FOS tasks `countspec` and `bspec` were run for a G2V model specifically for this configuration, resulting in levels of scattered light always less than 3% for both the mid-UV and the optical G1 spectra. Only if scattered light comprises $\sim 10\%$ of the maximum level of the mid-UV spectrum would the observed G1 mid-UV spectrum match this calculated BHB spectrum without EHB stars.

The fifth plot from the top of both figures portrays composite spectra with no hot stars at all. In order to preserve the Balmer-line strengths and the slope of the optical continuum at the same metallicity and light-element ratio as the top plot, the ratio was decreased of RHB + RGB stars to MSTO + MS stars and the turnoff temperature was raised to 6250K for the plot in Fig. 1. In the optical, the fit is respectable, although for the Balmer and the Ca II lines the fit is substantially worse than in the top plot. In the mid-UV, however, the deterioration of the fit is dramatic. Both line strengths and the slope of the mid-UV flux are very poorly reproduced. The mid-UV fit is improved but still unsatisfactory in the fifth plot of Fig. 2, in which a higher metallicity and hotter turnoff temperature were adopted.

4. Discussion

We have shown that composite theoretical spectra calculated from scratch with stellar models and our modified line list provide an excellent match to observed mid-UV and optical spectra of the M31 globular cluster G1, but only if blue horizontal branch stars are included. The average turnoff temperature of 6050 K of the best-fit composite spectra (shown at the top of Figs. 1 and 2) is like that of Milky Way globular clusters and the halo field, suggesting a similarly old age for G1. The observed great strength of CN remains unexplained, however.

The metallicity of the best-fit spectrum, $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.8$ (one-sixth solar), agrees well with the Rich et al. (1996) conclusion from the color of its giants that G1 is “at least as metal-rich as 47 Tuc.” High-resolution spectral analyses of 47 Tuc giants yield $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.7$ or -0.8 (Gratton, Quarta, & Ortolani 1986; Brown & Wallerstein 1992; Norris & Da Costa 1995; Carretta & Gratton 1997). Meylan et al. (2001) infer $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.95$ for G1, but our best fit at -0.9 requires a turnoff temperature that would render G1 older than Milky Way globular clusters or the halo field. A multi-metallicity model might overcome this objection; we have not yet considered such models.

The weighting factors for the best-fit spectra imply as many or more EHB as cool BHB stars, and one cool BHB star for every seven to eleven RHB stars in G1—whose CMD otherwise resembles that of 47 Tuc. The cool-BHB/RHB ratio is consistent with the small but nonzero number of cool BHB stars seen near the limiting magnitude of the G1 CMD (Rich et al. 1996). The EHB stars are visually too faint to have been detected there. However, high EHB/cool-BHB ratios are seen in a few Galactic clusters, notably NGC 6791 (Liebert, Saffer, & Green 1994) and ω Centauri. Although the latter is metal-poor, its giants clearly span a range of metallicity (Norris, Freeman, & Mighell 1996), and its BHB stars appear to have higher metallicities at bluer colors (D’Cruz et al. 2000).

In the best-fit model of Fig. 1, the BHB stars are significant contributors of UV light. The cool BHB stars contribute over one-third of the light below 2550 Å, $\sim 25\%$ at 2800 Å, and 20% near 3100 Å. The MSTO stars’ contribution is 20 – 25% below 2550 Å, and rises to one-third above 2650 Å. The MS stars contribute about 15% below 2650 Å, and one-quarter of the light over 2800 – 3100 Å. Giants plus RHB stars contribute less than 5% below 2900 Å, rising to 12% over 2900 – 3100 Å. The EHB stars account for one-third of the light below 2400 Å but 25% at 2550 Å, and $<10\%$ above 2900 Å. The effect of the EHB model is to weaken metal line strengths below 2500 Å while simultaneously raising the overall fluxes towards the blue end of the mid-UV.

The contributions to the 47 Tuc spectrum that Rose & Deng (1999) deduced at 2680 Å are 15% from giants and RHB stars and 78% from MSTO stars, plus 7% from blue stragglers. At this wavelength, MSTO and cooler MS stars in our best-fit model of Fig. 1 contribute 35% and 24%, versus 3.5% from giants and RHB’s, 24% from cool BHB stars, and 13% from EHB stars. In the best-fit model of Fig. 2 this becomes 40% and 25% for MSTO and MS stars, 3.5% from giants and RHB’s, 16% from cool BHB’s, and 15% from EHB’s. The large discrepancy in the turnoff/giant ratio between our models and that of Rose & Deng (1999) might be due not only to our larger hot-star component, but also to the adoption of different temperatures and/or abundances of the giant and MS stars. Their choice of empirical templates was based on spectral type, which can be misleading at subsolar abundances.

In the optical, the EHB stars contribute $\leq 1\%$ of the light in both our best-fit models. For that of Fig. 1, the MS contributes 14 – 20%; the MSTO, 19 – 28%; the cool BHB, $>25\%$ below 4000 Å, $\sim 23\%$ to 4400 Å, and $\sim 20\%$ redward; the RHB + RGB, 25% below 4000 Å, 35% to 4200 Å, 40% to 4400 Å, 45% to 4550 Å, and $>50\%$ redward. Near H β , the RGB + RHB contribution is 55%; the MS, 14%; the MSTO, 18%; and the cool BHB, 13%.

These percentage fluxes and Figs. 1 and 2 show that the G1 optical spectrum by itself does not demand a hot component. As seen in the next-to-bottom plot in Fig. 1, an acceptable fit in the optical can be obtained without hot stars, at the same metallicity as the best fit, by increasing the proportion of cool stars and raising the turnoff temperature by 250 K – thereby reducing the inferred age. In Fig. 2, a similarly good fit is obtained with a higher metallicity and turnoff temperature. However, both these fits are unacceptable in the mid-UV. To match the elevated mid-UV fluxes below 2650 Å, to fit the shallow cores of strong mid-UV lines, and to preserve the strengths of weaker lines at 2900 – 3100 Å at the metallicity dictated by the optical, models hotter than about 8000 K are required.

The effect of such models is illustrated explicitly in Figure 3, which plots the theoretical fluxes for several models used in generating the composite spectra of Fig. 1. In the optical, the models that are 8000 K and hotter contribute strong Balmer lines but no metallic or molecular lines (except for Ca II in the 8000 K model), and a continuum that rises towards the blue. Together these characteristics explain why the turnoff temperature changes when they are included. In the mid-UV, the 8000 K and 10,000 K models show flat, weak-lined spectra, while the 26,000 K model spectrum shows virtually no lines and rises steeply bluewards. In contrast, the models at lower temperatures show progressively stronger lines and weaker fluxes towards the blue.

The fits using model spectra with blue stragglers of the same metallicity as the cluster are not as good as those with hot horizontal branch stars. This is largely because the hottest feasible blue straggler model is 10,000 K, resulting in too little flux below 2650 Å and too much line dilution near 3000 Å. Our discussion of the plots in Figs. 1 and 2 has established that a BHB population is required to match the G1 spectra, even though our spectral analysis is limited in the number of models considered and adopts a constant metallicity.

In previous modeling of old stellar systems, BHB stars have been considered but usually dismissed. Tools for incorporating them were limited; as described below, we are planning to address this both theoretically and observationally. Moreover, there was no theoretical or empirical expectation that BHB stars should occur at high metallicity. However, cool BHB stars are now known to exist not only in G1, but also in several metal-rich environments within the Galaxy. Rich et al. (1997) have found BHB stars in two globular clusters in the Galactic disk, with metallicities one-fourth solar. In a photometric and spectroscopic survey

for BHB stars in the Galactic bulge, Peterson et al. (2001) have found two cool BHB stars with solar metallicity in a field 7.5° from the Galactic center. The old open cluster NGC 6791 contains several possible BHB stars (Kaluzny & Udalski 1992), including four or five sdB/O stars (Liebert et al.) The coolest of the BHB stars — both a radial-velocity and a proper-motion member — was found by Peterson & Green (1998) to have $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = +0.4 \pm 0.1$ dex, and to have temperature, gravity, and rotational velocity consistent with a cool BHB star.

5. Summary and the Future

Our theoretical modeling of the spectrum of G1, however simple, has achieved very good fits to its observed mid-UV and optical spectra, except for CN. Only two normalization constants were applied to the data; their ratio is consistent with the foreground reddening expected for this cluster. The proportions of the primary stellar components considered are in accord with observations of Galactic globular clusters. The cool BHB stars required are present in the G1 color-magnitude diagram. The metallicity deduced for G1 is like that of the Galactic globular 47 Tucanae, whose color-magnitude diagram is also very similar. The age inferred is comparable to that of the Galactic halo.

Provided the elevated flux level below $2500 \text{ \AA}$ in the G1 spectrum is not due to scattered light, the extremely hot EHB stars are also required, in numbers comparable to those of the cool BHB stars. The EHB stars are too faint for detection in the red CMD of G1, but in the Galaxy, they outnumber cool BHB's in both the metal-rich open cluster NGC 6791 (Liebert et al.) and in the globular cluster ω Cen (D'Cruz et al. 2000).

Our composite spectrum analysis is limited in considering only a few stellar types, and in adopting but a single metallicity. Nonetheless, we may draw several general conclusions.

- The near UV provides important additional constraints to interpreting the spectra of remote composite systems. However, the age-metallicity degeneracy remains at some level even in the near UV.
- The mid-UV spectral region can be modeled reliably by theoretical spectral calculations, but up-to-date models and line lists must be used. As demonstrated by PDR01, models of stellar photospheres should have convective overshoot turned off. Line lists must incorporate revised *gf*-values and atomic lines not yet identified in the laboratory, and so must be tested by comparison with observed spectra of stars spanning the relevant range of spectral type and metallicity.
- Blue horizontal branch stars must be included in composite spectra, now that they are

observed in many metal-rich systems in the Galaxy as well as in M31 G1 itself.

- When BHB stars are included, the age of G1 does not appear to differ substantially from that of Galactic globular clusters. Ages of Andromeda globulars will be underestimated from the Balmer lines whenever BHB stars are present but not accounted for.

These simple coadditions should be extended in several ways.

- Main sequence, turnoff, subgiant, and red giant weighting factors should be calculated from stellar isochrones including light-element enhancements, and compared directly to in-depth star counts of the 47 Tuc CMD. Because several Galactic globulars have rather different CMD's within and outside their cores, attention should be paid to the spatial region probed by the CMD.
- Plausible distributions of BHB and blue stragglers should be included, both separately and in the same model, since ω Cen and many other Galactic globulars show both (e.g., Ferraro et al. 2002).
- A variable overall metallicity should also be considered. The relative abundances of critical elements such as magnesium, calcium, and nitrogen should be varied as well.
- A large grid of theoretical model spectra must be calculated to allow accurate interpolation for all of these possible components.

We plan to continue this work under our three-year Hubble Treasury program GO-9455. The mid-UV line list of PDR01 will first be improved, using updated laboratory measurements and new comparisons against high-quality mid-UV spectra to be taken in Cycles 11 and 12 of MSTO stars, giants, and BHB/EHB stars of the field, and blue-straggler and turnoff stars in open clusters. This should provide good mid-UV fits for stars of solar metallicity and higher, and for stars hotter and cooler than the Sun. It will also provide a more extensive set of empirical mid-UV stellar spectral templates. We will then calculate complete grids of theoretical stellar mid-UV spectra, and generate composite spectra using dozens of stellar models rather than the six considered here. Their relative weights will be derived from isochrones calculated both with and without enhanced abundances of magnesium, nitrogen, and other light elements. They will be tested against STIS spectra to be taken of G1 and four other M31 globular clusters.

All of our STIS observations are being made public as they are taken, and the grids of theoretical stellar and composite spectra will be made public as they are completed. At that

point, it should be possible for anyone to judge from mid-UV and optical spectra to what extent BHB stars might be contributing to the strong $H\beta$ indexes seen in most metal-rich M31 globular clusters, and to what extent their ages are affected.

We thank J. Fulbright of the Dominion Astrophysical Observatory for providing the optical spectrum of HD 106516, and M. Bessell for communicating and analyzing theoretical OH and CH transition probabilities. R. Schiavon and J. Rose offered many suggestions to improve the manuscript. R.C.P. acknowledges support through grants to Astrophysical Advances for work on ultraviolet spectra from the Space Telescope Science Institute (GO-7395, GO-7402, AR-8371, and GO-9455) and from NASA (ADP contract S-92512-Z and LTSA contract NAS5-02052), and for work on blue horizontal branch stars from the National Science Foundation (AST-9900582 and AST-0098725). BWC acknowledges support from NSF grant AST-9988156. RWO is partially supported by LTSA grant NAG5-6403. RTR is partially supported by STScI grant GO-8709.

REFERENCES

- Arimoto, N., & Yoshii, Y. 1987, *A&A*, 173, 23
- Baade, W. 1944, *ApJ*, 100, 137
- Bohlin, R. C., Deutsch, E. W., McQuade, K. A., Hill, J. K., Landsman, W. B., O’Connell, R. W., Roberts, M. S., Smith, A. M., & Stecher, T. P. 1993, *ApJ*, 417, 127
- Briley, M. M. 1997, *AJ*, 114, 1051
- Brodie, J. P., & Huchra, J. P. 1990, *ApJ*, 362, 503
- Brown, J. A., & Wallerstein, G. 1992, *AJ*, 104, 1818
- Burstein, D., Faber, S. M., Gaskell, C. M., & Krumm, N. 1984, *ApJ*, 287, 586
- Cardelli, J. A., Clayton, G. C., & Mathis, J. S. 1989, *ApJ*, 345, 245
- Carney, B. W., Latham, D. W., Laird, J. B., Grant, C. E., & Morse, J. A. 2001, *AJ*, 122, 3419
- Carretta, E., & Gratton, R. G. 1997, *A&AS*, 121, 95
- Castelli, F., Gratton, R. G., & Kurucz, R. L. 1997, *A&A*, 318, 841

- Charlot, S., Worthey, G., & Bressan, A. 1996, ApJ, 457, 625
- Cox, A. N. 1999, *Allen's Astrophysical Quantities* (College Park, MD: AIP), 4th ed.
- D'Cruz, N. L., O'Connell, R. W., Rood, R. T., Whitney, J. H., Dorman, B., Landsman, W. B., Hill, R. S., Stecher, T. P., & Bohlin, R. C. 2000, ApJ, 530, 352
- Eggen, O. J., Lynden- Bell, D., & Sandage, A. R. 1962, ApJ, 136, 748
- Fanelli, M. N., O'Connell, R. W., Burstein, D., & Wu, C.-C. 1990, ApJ, 364, 272
- Ferraro, F. R., Sills, A, Rood, R. T., Paltrinieri, B., & Buonanno, R. 2002, "Blue Straggler Stars: A Direct Comparison of Star Counts and Population Ratios in Six Galactic Globular Clusters," ApJ, submitted Sept. 2002
- Glaspey, J. W., Michaud, G., Moffat, A. F. J., Demers, S. 1989, ApJ, 339, 926
- Gratton, R. G., Quarta, M. L., & Ortolani, S. 1986, A&A, 169, 208
- Jaschek, C., & Jaschek, M. 1990, *The Classification of Stars* (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press)
- Kaluzny, J., & Udalski, A. 1992, A&A, 42, 29
- Kauffmann, G., White, S. D. M., & Guiderdoni, B. 1993, MNRAS, 264, 201
- Kurucz, R. L., & Avrett, E. H. 1981, "Solar spectrum synthesis. I. A sample atlas from 224 to 300 nm", S.A.O. Spec. Rpt., 391
- Liebert, J., Saffer, R.A., & Green, E.M. 1994, AJ, 107, 1408
- Meylan, G., Sarajedini, A., Jablonka, P., Djorgovski, S. G., Bridges, T., & Rich, R. M. 2001, AJ, 122, 830
- Norris, J. E., & Da Costa, G. S. 1995, ApJ, 44 680
- Norris, J. E., Freeman, K. C., & Mighell, K. J. 1996, ApJ, 462, 241
- O'Connell, R. W. 1976, ApJ, 206, 370
- O'Connell, R. W. 1999, ARA&A, 37, 603
- O'Donnell, J. E. 1994, ApJ, 422, 158
- Peterson, R. C. 1976, ApJ, 210, L123

- Peterson, R. C., Dalle Ore, C. M., & Kurucz, R. L. 1993, *ApJ*, 404, 333
- Peterson, R. C., Dorman, B., & Rood, R. T. 2001, *ApJ*, 559, 372 (PDR01)
- Peterson, R. C., & Green, E. M. 1998, *ApJ*, 502, L39
- Peterson, R. C., Terndrup, D. M., Sadler, E. M., & Walker, A. R. 2001, *ApJ*, 547, 240
- Ponder, J. M., et al. 1998, *AJ*, 116, 2297
- Rich, R. M. R., Mighell, K. J., Freedman, W. L., & Neill, J. D. 1996, *AJ*, 111, 768
- Rich, R. M. R., Sosin, C., Djorgovski, S. G., Piotto, G., King, I. R., Renzini, A., Phinney, E. S., Dorman, B., Liebert, J., & Meylan, G. 1997, *ApJ*, 484, L25
- Rose, J. A., & Deng, S. 1999, *AJ*, 117, 2213
- Schiavon, R. P., Faber, S. M., Rose, J. A., & Castilho, B. V. 2002a, *ApJ*, in press
- Schiavon, R. P., Faber, S. M., Castilho, B. V., & Rose, J. A. 2002b, *ApJ*, in press
- Seaton, M. J. 1979, *MNRAS*, 187, 73P
- Trager, S. C., Faber, S. M., Worthey, G., & González, J. J. 2000, *AJ*, 119, 1645
- Trager, S. C., Faber, S. M., Worthey, G., & González, J. J. 2000, *AJ*, 120, 165
- Tripicco, M. J. 1989, *AJ*, 97, 735
- van den Bergh, S. 1969, *ApJS*, 19, 145
- Worthey, G. 1994, *ApJS*, 95, 107

Fig. 1.— Plots are shown comparing observed (heavy line) and calculated (light line) spectra for the M31 globular cluster G1 and a comparison star, HD 106516. The left panel shows the mid-UV region, and the right panel the optical. Wavelengths in air are given at the bottom. The tick marks are separated by 10% of the full scale of each plot. The uppermost panel shows a match about as good as that for the comparison star. As described in the text, this match is achieved by adding blue horizontal branch stars to the composite spectrum.

Fig. 2.— As for Fig. 1.

Fig. 3.— Plots are shown of several of the spectra calculated from individual stellar models that were used to generate the composite theoretical spectra of Fig. 1.











